

S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.2623/06

Date : 07.07. 2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. J.P. Chhangani for the applicant.

Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the case diary and the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is a lady and she is pregnant and she has falsely been implicated in this case.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application and submitted that the applicant tried to obtain job on the basis of forged documents, therefore, she is not entitled to bail.

I have considered the submission made before me and the material available on record.

Without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, however taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that the applicant is a lady and she is pregnant as stated by learned counsel for the applicant at bar, I deem it just and proper to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant Smt. Krishna wife of Bharat Singh by I.O./S.H.O. in FIR No.14/2006 P.S. Kotwali, Pali, she shall be released on bail provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- alongwith two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-

- (i) That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) That she shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- (iii) That she shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.